

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/681,159	02/02/2001	Lawrence G. Clawson	1955 P063	7795
26851	7590 07/07/2005		EXAMINER	
WALLENSTEIN WAGNER & ROCKEY, LTD.			WACHTEL, ALEXIS A	
311 S. WAC 53RD FLO	CKER DRIVE DR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CHICAGO, IL 60606-6630		1764	
			DATE MAILED: 07/07/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		18	1
2	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- CHIP
0.65	09/681,159	CLAWSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Alexis Wachtel	1764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a real of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty- iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON- tute, cause the application to become AB.	epty be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. (HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	5 February 2001.		
<u> </u>	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 45-61 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 45-61 is/are rejected. 			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.	·	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure 	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been	oplication No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not r	received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5-7-01</u> .		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Detailed Action

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 45-61 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-11 of U. S. Patent No. 6254839 to Clawson et al since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Claim 1 of US 6254839 is broader in scope than claim 45 of this instant application. In particular, the instant application recites a first tube including a first catalyst whereas US 6254839 recites a first tube without mentioning the use of a catalyst. US 6254839 does not exclude the possibility of employing a catalyst in the first tube and is therefore broader in scope than claim 45 of the instant invention. Additionally, the instant application recites a third tube surrounding

Application/Control Number: 09/681,159

Art Unit: 1764

a first and second tube. US 6254839 recites a catalytic reforming zone situated around the second tube thereby defining a third tube.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See In re Schneller, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alex Wachtel whose telephone number is 571-272-1455. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:30am to 6:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Glenn Caldarola, can be reached at (571)-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Glenn Caldarola Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700

Page 3